Folsom Dam Water Control Manual Update

Stakeholder Discussion

October 31, 2013

Location: Tsakopoulos Library Galleria, East Room, 828 I Street, Sacramento



US Army Corps of Engineers BUILDING STRONG_®

WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS



PURPOSE OF MANUAL UPDATE

- Revise operation rules for Folsom Dam to reduce flood risk based on the capabilities of the Folsom Joint Federal Project (JFP).
- Reflect operational capabilities created by improved weather forecasts.
- Potentially reduce the volume of flood control reservation in Folsom Reservoir at any particular time by comparison to the operations that have been in effect since 1995.



OBJECTIVES OF MANUAL UPDATE

- Pass the Probable Maximum Flood while maintaining 3 feet of freeboard below the top of dam to stay within the dam safety constraints of the U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation.
- Control a 1/100 annual chance flow ("100-year flood") to a maximum release of 115,000 cubic feet per second as criteria set by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency to support Federal Emergency Management Agency levee accreditation along the American River.
- Control a 1/200 annual chance flow ("200-year flood") as defined by criteria set by the State of California (State)
 Department of Water Resources to a maximum release of 160,000 cubic feet per second, when taking into account all the authorized modifications within the American River Watershed.

PURPOSE OF TODAY'S AGENDA

- Involving Public, Other Government Agencies, & Non-Governmental Organizations
- Project Schedule
- Presentation on Environmental Effects Approach
- Presentation on Technical / Modeling Work
- Group Discussion & Summary Comments



INVOLVING THE PUBLIC,

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES & NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS



INTERESTS

- Regional Flood Management
- Folsom Lake, Lake Natoma and Lower American River Recreation
- In-Basin Water Supply & Irrigation
- Other Water Supply & Irrigation
- Generation of Hydropower



REVIEW ISSUES & CONCERNS



PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

- Quarterly Public/ Stakeholder Session
- In-Depth Sessions Government Entities:
 - Quarterly Technical / Modeling

- Quarterly Environmental Effects
- In-Depth Sessions Non-Governmental & Public
 - Quarterly Meetings convened by SAFCA.
 - **Other Conversations USACE**



INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON LINE Folsom Dam Water Control Manual Update

- July 2012 Briefing Paper
- Summary of 2012 Scoping Meetings
- Stakeholder Assessment
- Other Documents

http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWo rks/FolsomDamAuxiliarySpillway.aspx



PROJECT MILESTONE SCHEDULE



SCHEDULE

Engineering Documentation





SCHEDULE

	F	Technical I	Focus of Meetings	Technical Work Group (TWG)	Environmental Working Group (EWG)	SAFCA Meetings with NGOs	All Stakeholder/ Public Workshops	Stakeholder Input Due
Meeting Sequence		Engineering	Environmental					
1	Aug-13	*Final engineering baseline runs	*Approach to effects evaluation	Aug-13				
	Sep-13	Tier 1 evaluations	*Baseline assumptions		Sep-13	Oct-13	Oct-13	
2	Nov-13	*Draft with-project runs	*Draft baseline runs	Nov-13		000 10	000 20	*Engineering baseline runs - 11/29/13
	Dec-13	*Tier 1 evaluations	*Tier 2 evaluations		13-Dec			
	Jan-14					Jan-14	Jan-13	
3	Feb-14	*Draft with-project runs	*Final baseline runs	Feb-14				*Draft engineering with-project and draft environmental baseline runs - 2/28/14
	Mar-14	*Tier 1 evaluations	*Tier 2 evaluations		Mar-14			
	Apr-14					Apr-14	Apr-14	
4	May-14	*Draft with-project runs	*Draft with-project runs vs. final baseline	May-14				*Engineering draft with-project and final environmental baseline runs - 5/31/14
	Jun-14	*Tier 1 evaluations	*Tier 2 and 3 evaluations		Jun-14			
	Jul-14					Jul-14	Jul-14	
5		*Final with-project runs	*Draft with-project vs final baseline runs					*Draft engineering with-project and draft environmental with-project runs - 8/31/14
	Aug-14			Aug-14				
	Sep-14	*Tier 1 evaluations	*Tier 2 and 3 evaluations		Sep-14			
	Oct-14					Oct-14	Oct-14	
6	Nov-14		final with-project runs vs	Nov-14				חאו
	Dec-14		*Tier 2 and 3 evaluations		Dec-14			
	Jan-15					Jan-15	Jan, 15	

TBD



Discussion / Questions

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS APPROACH

CURRENT MODELING PLAN OVERVIEW

Task Force = Corps, Reclamation, CVFPB/DWR, SAFCA representatives

ROS = Reservoir Operations Set

ResSim ROS = ResSim model developed for each ROS and evaluated for flood risk management performance

Tier 1 Analysis

- 'High level' evaluation of effects to project beneficial uses
- Developed from period of record (POR) HEC-ResSim and CalSim II runs using the same flood storage reserve requirements
- Compares HEC-ResSim and CalSim II end-of-month storages and lower American River (LAR) fall flows
- General consistency between the two models is viewed as consistency with meeting project beneficial uses

CalSim II Model Build

- Current CalSim II model build subject to concurrence between USACE, Reclamation and DWR
- Any modifications to base model assumptions will be further discussed by the partner agencies

Environmental Effects Analysis

 Environmental effects analyses will be centered around effects flood management operations alternatives would have on the other Folsom Dam Project purposes:

Tier 2 Analysis

- Comparison of 'with ROS' CalSim II run with baseline CalSim II run
- Monthly flood storage reserve requirement in Folsom is feature of ROS that is incorporated into CalSim II
- Screening level comparison of SWP/CVP beneficial uses of project water using key system indicators

Tier 2 System Indicators

- Water delivery to CVP municipal and industrial contractors north and south of the Delta;
- Water delivery to settlement and exchange contractors;
- Water deliveries to Feather River SWP contractors;
- Water delivery to CVP agricultural contractors north and south of the Delta;
- Minimum release requirements (MRR) CVP and SWP conveyances;
- SWP Delta exports;

Tier 2 System Indicators (cont.)

- Old and Middle River (OMR) flows;
- The position of the X2 (the near-bottom 2 parts per thousand isohaline boundary);
- The Delta export to inflow (E/I) ratio;
- Delta Outflow;
- Water delivery to refuges north and south of the Delta;
- May end-of-month storage in Shasta, Oroville and Folsom Reservoirs, and
- September end-of-month storage in Shasta, Oroville and Folsom Reservoirs.

Tier 3 Analysis

- Evaluate other system effects that CalSim II cannot simulate
- Comparison of alternatives to baseline conditions
 Long-term average values (period of record) and sorted by water year type
- Closer evaluation of effects in Lower American River
- Screening level evaluation for more distant parts of CVP/SWP system followed by detailed evaluation, as needed

Baseline Conditions -Folsom Reservoir Flood Operation and Configuration

- Existing Conditions/Current Operations
- Future Without Project/No Action
- Cumulative Effects

Existing Conditions/ Current Operations (E504)

- Flood Storage: 400,000/670,000 Acre-Feet (AF)
- Outlet Configuration: Existing (No Auxiliary Spillway)
- Temperature Control Devices (TCD): 3-2-4 shutter configuration
- Operations: Current (2004 Operation Rules)

Future Without Project/ No Action (J604)

- Flood Storage: 400,000/670,000 AF
- Outlet Configuration: Existing plus Auxiliary Spillway (JFP)
- TCDs: 3-2-4 shutter configuration
- Operations: Current + use of auxiliary spillway for emergency releases only

Cumulative Effects

- <u>Past</u> 400,000 AF (Fixed) flood space; no JFP; 1-1-7 shutter configuration;1987 WCD (E503 ResSim Model)
- Present 400,000/670,000 AF flood space; no JFP; 3-2-4 shutter configuration; 2004 WCD (E504 ResSim Model)
- Future 400,000/600,000 AF flood space; Authorized 3.5-ft Dam Raise; JFP; 7(1)-2 shutter configuration; 2017 WCD updated for Dam Raise (R702 ResSim Model)

Dam Raise – Future Project

 Not a with-project alternative for this WCM Update; however, considered in cumulative effects analysis

Features

- ► Automation of TCD 7(1)-2 configuration
- Raise auxiliary dikes by 3.5 feet
- Retrofit emergency spillway gates
- Ecosystem restoration at 2 sites on LAR

Dam Raise – Future Project

Other Baseline Assumptions Under Discussion

- Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP)
- Lower American River (LAR) Purveyor Demands
- Level of Development
- Biological Opinions
- Climate Change
- Other Future Projects

EVALUATIONS BY RESOURCE

Ag, M&I Water Supply

CalSim II Outputs

Model Parameters

- Deliveries from Folsom Lake and to the City of Sacramento
- CVP/SWP deliveries South of Delta
- Non-CVP/SWP water rights deliveries
- End-of-May Storage: Trinity, Shasta, Oroville, Folsom Reservoirs
- End-of-September Storage: Trinity, Shasta, Oroville, Folsom Reservoirs

Power

 CalSim II reservoir storages and releases applied to LTGen and SWPGen models

Evaluation of:

- Total capacity, quantity and timing of energy production
- Any changes in Project use
- Net capacity and energy at load center
- Effects to timing of peaking operations at Folsom Dam

Fisheries Resources

- Effects analysis based on river flows, lake levels and water temperature modeling.
- Special-status fish species (i.e., steelhead, Chinook salmon, delta smelt, green sturgeon, etc.).
- Recreationally important species (e.g., striped bass and American shad).

Water Quality

- Parameters evaluated as part of the Fisheries analysis:
 - Water temperature in the Lower American River
 - Salinity dynamics in the Delta
- Salinity dynamics in the Delta
 - addressed at a screening level (changes in X2, total Delta inflow/outflow, and the E/I ratio).
 - Substantial changes may warrant more detailed evaluation using DSM2
- Salinity quality at key in-Delta points for local Ag and M&I supplies

Terrestrial Resources

- Shoreline understory and wooded areas.
- Reservoir parameters:
 water surface elevations

Riverine parameters:
 Flow

Recreation

- Primary focus is Folsom Lake and Lower American River
- Folsom Lake
 - Water surface elevation as it relates to access, inundation, aesthetics, and time of year
- Lower American River
 Flows and timing

Erosion

- Changes in erosion rates at key index points along LAR
- Focus is period between completion of JFP/auxiliary spillway and completion of Common Features project

NEPA and CEQA

Corps of Engineers

NEPA Lead Agency

Central Valley Flood Protection Board

CEQA Lead Agency

Bureau of Reclamation

NEPA Cooperating Agency

Department of Water Resources

CEQA Responsible Agency

Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency

CEQA Responsible Agency

BASIS OF ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT

40

Parameters Common to Each Alternative

 Flood Storage: As directed by Congress, 400,000/600,000 AF at Folsom with upstream storage credit considerations

- <u>Outlet Configuration</u>: Existing outlets and auxiliary spillway
- <u>Temperature Control Diagram Configuration</u>: 3-2-4 shutter configuration

Variable Alternative Parameter

- <u>Operating Rules</u>: Rule curves that derive flood storage reserve requirements from some combination of the following:
 - ► Basin Wetness
 - Forecast Information

Discussion / Questions

PRESENTATION ON TECHNICAL / MODELING WORK

Baseline Comparisons to the With Project Condition -- Cumulative Past --400-Fixed Flood Control Storage

Baseline Comparisons to the With Project Condition -- Existing --400-670 Flood Control Storage

BUILDING STRONG_®

Baseline Comparisons to the With Project Condition -- With Project --

FLOOD CONTROL DIAGRAM

UE COF DATA and Lake statile operated for Mondo cost to Laxon data: with the P bod Cost to D Lagram. When wat it is strend with this P bod Dow to Reservable, reservable releases in sette hia scondarse with the requirements of this talgeram.

2. The parameters on the theorem of the parameters is equively been deviced as the restriction, or any give day, based on analyble space in the update an recentry, done the required Flow downhol Recentration to complete the the parameter table in excession is non-parameter by a parameter is discussed before. Completations of the parameter is discussed before:

COMPUTATION OF REQUIRED FLOOD RESERVATION STORAGE 1. Comptte space at above news spaway each to accedent storage reservors : Preter Macdows : Neithob and Ubb Videv.

The amount orcreditates mood control thats is replace in such reservoir is then compiled by taking the smaller of the space available or the maximum or editable space for that reservoir.

The machinen creditable space by	eterioli Elas follows:
Freich Meartows	45,000 acre-test
Hell Hole	80,000 acre-teat
Billon Malley	15,000 acre-test

Combine the creditable flooring state that stars for each reservoir to complete the total creditable aparet.

e. Determ is the Flood Costol Recencentes at Feiters Lake by applying fastore diable flood costol fransfer space operanter on the Flood Costol Diagram is 1000 acre−ter).

SANFLE COMPUTATION OF REQUIRED REBERVOIR STORAGE

		RECEIVENCE			
		- 49		DEDCIVETUR	
RESERVOIR	STORA DE	R PILL WAY	RRUCE	CRED ITA BLE	CREDITABLE FLOOD
	CNLLN 1	CHERT	AVALARIE.	RPACE	CONTROL TRANSFE
	(TAF)	(TAF)	(TAF)	(TNF)	BPACE (TNF)
FRENCH DEUCOV/R	167	1107	- 25	- 295	25
HELL HOLE	67.6	207.0	12.0	00	80
LINKIN VALLEY	100.1	20.6.1	75	76	16
TOTAL CREDITABLE FLOCO CON TROL TR	28.0				
FLOOD CONTROL RESERVATION AT FOLD	127				
REQUIRED REREIVOR STORUGE AT FOU	627				

RELEASE SCHEDULE

s. Dring a poterital flood attraction, water shoeld with the Thori Control Reservation, defined liere it, shall be released as up tilly as possible subject to the following solide it :

а.

h

Pequied the decist (Fakara - Penpetyn has en trok verpler) 15.000 et will is interearas ken samp, as decision in the <u>roits on table present</u> escendure, e control towar In the Amerikaan Piker betwish e dam to ottobe fast 115.000 etc. exceptivele larger robuste and registed by the account paiving <u>EMPENDEVCYPILLAVY RELEACE</u> <u>Disc PAR</u> (2016). Once the reas out poollegias filling, na hittak rebass as increased inform unit and is the all a title food decision faster status design.

. The leaves will not be increased more than 30,000 cfs or decreased more than 10,000 cfs during any 2-hour period.

AN ERICAN RIVER WATERCHED PROJECT FOLSOM DAN AUXILIARY SPLUWAY CENERAL DESIGN DOCUMENATION REPORT

DRAFT WATER CONTROL PLAN

FIGURE 5-5

BUILDING STRONG_®

Cumulative Past Vs. With Project Condition 400-Fixed Vs. 400-600 Flood Control 1/100 Routing

BUILDING STRONG®

48

Cumulative Past Vs. With Project Condition 400-Fixed Vs. 400-600 Flood Control 1/200 Routing

BUILDING STRONG®

49

Cumulative Past Vs. With Project Condition 400-Fixed Vs. 400-600 Flood Control PMF Routing

Existing Vs. With Project Condition 400-670 Vs. 400-600 Flood Control 1/100 Routing

Existing Vs. With Project Condition 400-670 Vs. 400-600 Flood Control 1/200 Routing

Existing Vs. With Project Condition 400-670 Vs. 400-600 Flood Control PMF Routing

Basin Wetness

- Index could be based on basin precipitation, inflow, or projected snowmelt runoff.
- An index has been utilized in the past:

PAR = P(today) + [(% Persistence) * PAR(yesterday)]

RESERVOIR STORAGE, in 1,000 acre-feet

BUILDING STRONG_®

PRECIPITATION & SNOW STATION LOCATION

60

Discussion / Questions

GROUP DISCUSSION & & SUMMARY COMMENTS

- Summary Discussion.
 - Existing issues and concerns addressed
 - New issues and concerns from today's meeting

Closing Remarks

